

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/082,857	10/19/2001	Cornelis Marinus Huizer	PHNL 010087	4953	
24737	7590 11/29/2006	,	EXAMINER		
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			BERGER, A	BERGER, AUBREY H	
P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		2134			
			DATE MAILED: 11/29/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/082,857	HUIZER, CORNELIS MARINUS			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Aubrey H. Wyszynski	2134			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE IN THE MAILING DATE IN THE MAILING DATE IN THE PROOF IN THE MAILING DATE IN THE PROOF	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			•			
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 September 2006</u> .					
·		action is non-final.				
3)	, 					
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
·						
	 ✓ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 					
	Claim(s) 8-15 is/are allowed.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers	·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
			KAMBIZ ZAND PRIMARY EXAMINER			
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
3) 🔲 Inform	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date					

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-15 are pending.

Response to Amendment

- 2. Applicant has amended claim 7 to overcome the §112, 2nd¶ rejection therefore the rejection is withdrawn.
- 3. Applicant has amended claim 8 to overcome the 35 U.S.C. 101 rejections to claims 8-13. Additionally, applicant has amended the specification to show support for this amendment. The amendment to the specification is not considered new matter because the applicant has amended the specification to further clarify fig. 1, #140, to state wherein the computer program product 140 is embedded on a computer readable medium. This is not considered new matter because the computer program product 140 is clearly illustratively shows a computer readable medium in the form of a computer disk.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments regarding claims 1-7 have been fully considered but are non-persuasive. Applicant has not specifically disclosed where in the specification a "rendering device" maybe implemented in hardware or a combination thereof.

Applicant's specification, page 3, lines 31-33 disclose "some features indicated in the drawings are typically implemented in software and as such represent software entities such as software modules or objects", and does not suggest a hardware alternative.

Applicant argues "the receiving module 111 may be implemented in software, hardware, or combinations thereof." However, applicant does not point to a description in the specification of the various means recited in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

- 5. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:
 - Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.
- 6. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Page 3, lines 31-33 of the specification state "some features indicated in the drawings are typically implemented in software and as such represent software entities such as software modules or objects" causing the claims to lack a structural relationship to be entirely implemented in software and not include an underlying medium.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 1-7 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 101, set forth in this Office action.
- 8. Claims 8-15 are allowed.

Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/082,857

Art Unit: 2134

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aubrey H. Wyszynski whose telephone number is (571)272-8155. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, and alternate Friday's.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on (571)272-3799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2134

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AHW